ELIA E. CARRION
Official Court Reporter
United States District Court
219 South Dearborn Street, Room 1432,
Chicago, Illinois 60604
(312) 408-7782
Elia Carrion@ilnd.uscourts.gov

1 (Proceedings had telephonically:) 2 THE COURT: Emily, please call the case. 3 THE CLERK: All right. Before I call the case, I 4 would just like to remind anyone who's not speaking to please 5 keep their microphone on mute; and anyone who is speaking to 6 please mute your microphone until you're ready to speak. 7 This is Case No. 18 CV 7686, In re Lion Air Flight JT 610 Crash. 9 THE COURT: All right. Do we have anyone from the Edelson firm on? They are the ones who filed the motion for 10 11 rule to show cause. 12 MR. EDELSON: Good morning, Your Honor. Jay Edelson 13 from Edelson, along with Ben Richman and Ari Scharg. 14 THE COURT: All right. Good morning. 15 Is there anyone on from Boeing? 16 MR. SHULTZ: Good morning, Your Honor. Mack Shultz 17 at Perkins Coie on behalf of The Boeing Company. 18 THE COURT: All right. And do we have anyone on 19 behalf of the Girardi Keese firm? 20 MR. MONICO: Michael Monico, Barry Spevack, and 21 Ryan Mitsos, Your Honor, of Monico & Spevack on behalf of the 22 law firm. 23 THE COURT: All right. And do we have individuals on 24 the phone? 25 Is Tom Girardi on the phone?

1 MS. JENNESS: Your Honor, this is Attorney 2 Evan Jenness here in Los Angeles on behalf of Mr. Girardi, 3 who's present with me. 4 THE COURT: All right. And Mr. Girardi, can I hear 5 your voice? 6 MR. GIRARDI: Yes, Your Honor. Good morning. 7 THE COURT: All right. Good morning. 8 Okay. And do we have Attorney David Lira on the 9 phone? 10 MR. LIRA: Yes, Your Honor, present. 11 THE COURT: All right. 12 MS. MATTHAI: And this is Attorney Edith Matthai. 13 I'm here with Mr. Lira. 14 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 15 And is Attorney Keith Griffin on the phone? 16 MR. GRIFFIN: Yes, Your Honor, I'm present. 17 MR. SABA: And, Your Honor, this is Ryan Saba with 18 Mr. Griffin. 19 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Thank you, all. 20 All right. Mr. Edelson, this is your motion. I 21 assume you've seen the response that Mr. Monico filed on 22 behalf of the law firm. Is that correct? 23 MR. EDELSON: Correct, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: All right. How do you want to proceed? 25 MR. EDELSON: Well, I think -- I think it's clear

that they've admitted that they've embezzled money.

They filed a response which they purport to be filing under seal. There's no basis to seal it, except with regard to the amounts that they actually stole.

We agree that it's a confidential settlement between Boeing and the client so we won't talk about the specific amounts there.

But the rest of this, there are a lot of other attorneys on the case who have similar stories of clients whose monies have been stolen by the Girardi firm, and I think that it's important that their response is in the public record.

Moving on from that, the response itself is -- is pretty odd. They attach no affidavits, no declarations. Instead, they have a Word document in -- it's almost scribbling, but if you read the scribbling, they seem to be admitting a number of things.

First of all, Your Honor issued orders starting
February of 2020 going through March of 2020 which directed
that -- that client funds be -- be put in a client trust
account.

According to their spreadsheet -- and these are admissions, even though there's no affidavit, they're clearly admissions -- they didn't even start putting any money in there until 5/11/2020.

Then they put a little bit more money on 7/6/2020; a little bit more money 9/3/2020.

These all roughly correlate with the pressure that our firm was putting on them when we were saying we don't believe that the client's been paid.

And according to their spreadsheet, they owe a significant amount of money to each of the clients and collectively to the four clients.

And they only tell us about four out of six. Then there are two others where they say, oh, maybe Mr. Lira knows something; and Mr. Lira, of course, didn't submit anything.

So we believe that they're clearly in violation of the court orders, and we're happy to address the later points that the Court agreed to talk about what the appropriate remedy should be.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Monico, any response?

MR. MONICO: Your Honor, we -- we're just in -obviously, this is a matter of some urgency. We got involved
in the case on Friday and we responded on Saturday. And I
thank you for accepting it, even though it was a day late.

We -- we are trying to find out what happened. And so we have gathered the information as best we can.

Mr. Lira is on the phone now with his counsel, and I believe he will confirm that the two individuals, Dani Andrian and Puji Lestarti, have been paid in full.

1 Is that correct, Mr. Lira? 2 MR. LIRA: That's correct, Your Honor. 3 MR. MONICO: So that remains -- so that leaves the 4 four other participants, the names who we've indicated in our 5 filing, Judge, and they -- and -- and it's true, that they 6 have not received all the money they are entitled to and that 7 we have -- we are trying to assess now the best way to deal 8 with that, and we -- if Your Honor feels that it's best to 9 appoint someone as a trustee, we would not oppose that because 10 we have every reason to try to cooperate with the Court and 11 cooperate with counsel as best we can. 12 THE COURT: Well, the chart -- which I will agree 13 that we should not note on the record the full settlement 14 That's a matter that is not necessary for this 15 hearing -- but your chart makes clear that for four of the 16 plaintiffs, each one is short half a million dollars. 17 Correct? 18 MR. MONICO: That's true, Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: So there's a \$2 million deficiency --20 MR. MONICO: That is --21 THE COURT: -- and as the filing indicates --22 go ahead. 23 MR. MONICO: That -- you're exactly right, 24 Your Honor. 25 THE COURT: All right. And your chart indicates that

1 there is no current ability of your client, the law firm, to 2 pay that \$2 million? 3 MR. MONICO: That is the best of my understanding, 4 Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: All right. Well, I'll ask the Edelson 6 firm to talk in a minute about potential remedies on this, but 7 I had a couple questions about the chart itself. 8 MR. MONICO: Yes, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: If you go to the payment section, you 10 have four dates. You have a date of April 6, 2020. 11 What does that date represent? 12 MS. JENNESS: Your Honor, this is Evan Jenness. 13 I believe I can answer that. That's the date on 14 which the first payment was made to one of the four listed 15 plaintiff clients, the one in the far right column. 16 THE COURT: I see. Okay. Very good. 17 My -- and then the other dates, obviously, are other 18 payments -- are these payments to the client or payments --19 are they the transmission of monies from your trust account 20 that you already -- trust account to the clients? 21 What do they represent? 22 MR. MONICO: Evan, you want to answer? 23 MS. JENNESS: Yes. 24 I believe they represent wire transfers in accordance 25 with the settlement agreement which provided for the

addressees of the -- the settlement amounts.

THE COURT: All right. Are these amounts broken out?

I would have thought when Boeing funds the settlement, everything, absent a holdback for attorneys' fees,

5 goes to the plaintiffs.

Why are they spread over, in this case, a number of months?

Any of you can answer that.

MR. MONICO: This is Mr. Monico, Your Honor.

And I am -- I am uncertain of that. As I say, we got in the case on Friday. And we were advised over the weekend that this was -- these were the payments that were made to the various potential -- various plaintiffs; and that four of the plaintiffs were lacking in receiving all the money, as Your Honor indicates.

THE COURT: All right. But, Mr. Monico, you're -this really goes to a common sense issue of why is there -and maybe it's at client direction -- I can't imagine why -but why wouldn't everything be paid to the plaintiffs upon
receipt of the money from Boeing?

If you know the answer, fine. I know you've just been in the case a few days, but if you know that answer, or if someone on your team knows it, I'd appreciate hearing from them.

Or if any of the attorneys who are the attorneys for

these plaintiffs know the answer to that, I'd love to know it.

All right. The record should reflect there's silence.

Mr. Shultz, why don't you tell me what the -- how these payments work. What is the mechanism by which you fund these settlement agreements, and who do you send the money to?

MR. SHULTZ: Good morning, Your Honor. For the record, Mack Shultz on behalf of Boeing.

Your Honor, each -- in each of the releases there were specific payments called out. So certain amounts of funds would go to specified accounts.

We were informed by the plaintiffs' counsel as to which accounts should be paid and what monies should go to them. The releases were executed by the underlying claimants, the releasors.

And then once all the documents were in order, once -- if there were minors, the Court approval had been made; or for cases without minors, the claim had been dismissed, we proceeded to make the payments as specified in each of the individual releases.

THE COURT: All right. So, for instance -- without stating the amount -- the actual orders I signed for approval on minor settlements notes that the settlement funds for the minor plaintiffs in this case shall be initially paid to a trust account established by Girardi Keese for the benefit of

the plaintiffs, including the minors.

Pursuant to instructions provided to counsel by plaintiff in her role as legal guardian for the minor plaintiffs, the plaintiffs' net proceeds identified above, which sets forth the amounts being paid to the plaintiffs, shall be sent as soon as practicable via wire transfer to a particular bank in Indonesia, without going into the name of the bank.

Would you send a lump sum and then allow for the holdback to take place among plaintiffs' counsel, or did you get yourself involved in any way in dividing the money between plaintiffs' counsel and the plaintiffs?

MR. SHULTZ: Your Honor, we made the full payment and then left it to plaintiffs' counsel to work out all the issues on their end.

THE COURT: All the monies in the four cases -- there are six that are the subject of the Edelson motion, but -- let's talk about all six.

Were all the monies spent -- sent, rather, to the trust account established by Girardi Keese?

MR. SHULTZ: Your Honor, I'm a bit hamstrung because I didn't see the submission that Mr. Monico put in because it was filed under seal, but for all the Girardi cases, after we saw the motion filed by the Edelson firm, we went back and reconfirmed that every payment was made in full to the

1 accounts as specified in each of the individual releases. 2 THE COURT: All right. And the release themselves 3 says a trust account established by Girardi Keese. 4 MR. SHULTZ: The --5 THE COURT: So that leaves this, Mr. Monico -- oh, 6 go ahead, Mr. Shultz. 7 MR. SHULTZ: I apologize. I didn't mean to 8 interrupt, Your Honor. 9 The releases specified certain bank accounts in each 10 individual release, and we made payments to those. 11 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Yeah, what I have is 12 simply the confidential declaration in support of the joint 13 motion for dismissal, so I don't have actual wiring 14 instructions or the types of accounts; just the fact that 15 the -- the order calls for the money to be paid to a trust 16 account. 17 Mr. Monico, were the monies from Boeing -- were the 18 six individuals -- or six cases deposited into a trust account 19 for Girardi Keese? 20 MR. MONICO: I believe that is correct, Your Honor. 21 Evan Jenness is on the phone. 22 Evan, is that correct? 23 MS. JENNESS: Your Honor, I'm not presently able to 24 tell the Court whether there was a single separate trust 25 account established or not. I'm sorry.

1 That's just not information that I was able to 2 marshal in the short period of time we've had available. 3 THE COURT: Okay. 4 MR. LIRA: Your Honor, this is David Lira, if I may. 5 THE COURT: Yes. 6 (Indiscernible crosstalk.) 7 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: My name -- my name is -- my 8 name is [unintelligible]. I'm also from Israel. 9 THE COURT: Whoever that is, please mute your phone. 10 I'm talking to Mr. Lira right now. 11 Go ahead, Mr. Lira. 12 MR. LIRA: Yes, Your Honor. I'm -- I'm speaking to 13 the fifth and sixth plaintiff listed on the OSC. 14 In regards to the release in the Puji Sartarti [sic] 15 matter, the release was signed on May 22, 2020, and paid in 16 full on June 11, 2020. 17 The release in the Dani Adrian [sic] matter was 18 signed on May 18, 2020, and the client was paid in full on 19 June 11, 2020. 20 That -- the Boeing funds to those two plaintiffs were 21 played -- paid into an attorney trust account; a lawyer by the 22 name of Anthony Koushan, who was the referring lawyer for 23 those two cases. 24 And I have the wire instructions and other evidence 25 to support that statement, Your Honor.

1 MS. MATTHAI: And, Your Honor --2 THE COURT: Well, that's the way it's supposed to 3 happen. 4 Go ahead. Who's going to speak? 5 MS. MATTHAI: This is Edith Matthai. 6 The releases involved also provided -- because there 7 had been an assignment by Girardi Keese to California Attorney 8 Lending, the release provided that Boeing would pay the -- a 9 portion of the attorneys' fees directly to that lender. 10 THE COURT: All right. Well, but this sounds like 11 the way it should happen: releases signed, money transmitted 12 by Boeing, and then sent to the client within a few weeks. 13 What happened on the other four? 14 If anyone can speak to that, please state your name 15 when you say it. 16 MS. MATTHAI: This is Edith Matthai again on behalf of Mr. Lira. 17 18 We would like the Court to know that he had no 19 involvement with the handling of the funds as to those four 20 plaintiffs. 21 THE COURT: Well, who did? 22 MS. MATTHAI: To the best of our knowledge, 23 Girardi Keese. 24 THE COURT: Who at Girardi Keese? Mr. Lira -- was he 25 still at the firm when -- when this occurred?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. LIRA: No. Your Honor, I -- I left the employ of Girardi & Keese on June 13, 2020, of this year. And -- and from looking at the sealed document, obviously there were transfers after my departure. It was Mr. Girardi who controlled the finances and the direction of payments. THE COURT: All right. Well, I'll get to Mr. Girardi's situation in a moment. What's Mr. Griffin's position in the receipt and distribution of the funds? Either Mr. Griffin can speak to it or his attorney can. MR. GRIFFIN: Thank you, Your Honor. Keith Griffin. Your Honor, I was a -- a former W-2 employee of Girardi Keese. I resigned with Girardi December 4 of this year, 2020. And I'd -- I'd defer to Mr. Girardi's counsel on the receipt of those funds. I never had access, possession, or control of any of the settlement funds in the Lion Air settlement. THE COURT: All right. Ms. Jenness, can you or Mr. Girardi speak to what happened with these four clients? As Mr. Lira just spoke, it sounds like it worked correctly, as it has in literally probably 100 cases relating to the people who -- and the families who have filed claims on

behalf of their loved ones who died in the crash.

1 What happened to these four? MS. JENNESS: Your Honor, unfortunately, I'm not able 2 3 to provide the Court with information on that beyond what 4 Mr. Monico submitted to the Court. We don't have a 5 comprehensive understanding of the disposition at this time. 6 THE COURT: Does your client want to speak to this? 7 MS. JENNESS: Your Honor, I've advised him to -- to 8 decline that. 9 THE COURT: All right. Well, Mr. Monico, can you add 10 to this on what happened that went off the rails for these 11 four cases? 12 MR. MONICO: It's very, very difficult to say, Judge. 13 Mr. Girardi is 81 years of age and has had issues 14 regarding his mental competence. And we are at this time 15 attempting to find out exactly what Your Honor's asking --16 where the money went and -- and why it went there. 17 THE COURT: Well, you represent the law firm, and you 18 state that there's no immediate ability to disburse the 19 preceding outstanding funds, the \$2 million that is -- that --20 MR. MONICO: That's true, Your Honor. That's true. 21 That's correct, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Ms. Jenness, does your client, 23 Mr. Girardi, have \$2 million to pay these families who are in 24 need of this money and are entitled to the money? 25 MS. JENNESS: Your Honor, it's my understanding that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

he does not have the immediate ability to pay. He's -- he's involved in a -- in a divorce proceeding and he and the firm have substantial outstanding legal obligations and debts. THE COURT: Well --MS. JENNESS: It may be that at some future date he would, but certainly he does not at present. And I don't have personal information that I could confirm for the Court that the funds would be available on any date. So I think the -- the short answer to that is he does not have the ability to pay it. THE COURT: All right. Mr. Edelson, what do you suggest the remedies are in this case? MR. EDELSON: Well, Your Honor, may I just address the substance very briefly? THE COURT: Go ahead. MR. EDELSON: So Mr. Lira and Mr. Griffin, I do -- I do not think are telling the truth. to show cause that they were actively involved in the scheme. They were telling us the money's there, the money's there, or

We've set out in our verified motion for -- for rule the money's held up; give us a little bit more time.

The idea that Mr. Lira, for example, who is the son-in-law of Mr. Girardi, now is saying, oh, I didn't know anything about this; and -- and Mr. Griffin who is one of the -- was one of the key attorneys there is also saying, oh,

I'm just an employee, I don't know anything, is just simply inaccurate.

And -- and I really think one of the key things of the motion to rule -- an order to show cause is that attorneys have to come forward with actual evidence. They have to actually either be -- have sworn declarations saying they'd wired us money now, but what we've seen is that their words don't mean a lot.

In terms of Mr. Girardi, this idea that he might be mentally incompetent is -- is a sham.

What we have been uncovering through our investigation is that the Girardi firm has been running a Ponzi scheme for at least a decade.

What they do is when money comes in, they use that to pay previous creditors, previous clients, and then they wait until more money comes. And what happened is, I guess everything just caught up to them. But this is not new behavior. This is consistent. They've been doing it for a decade.

THE COURT: Well, let me interrupt you, Mr. Edelson, for a moment.

That may be and it may not be. And I know you filed a separate lawsuit against Mr. Girardi, his firm, and a variety of defendants. It's been assigned to Judge Kennelly.

My concern are -- of course, is my case and the four

individual plaintiffs, or sets of plaintiffs, that are \$2 million short.

So I appreciate your other allegations against the firm or Mr. Girardi and the two individual -- other individual attorneys.

But what I'm really looking for is what are the remedies that I can apply to make it the most likely outcome, being that the individual plaintiffs get their money.

MR. EDELSON: Thank you, Your Honor. And I understand that and I appreciate that.

Well, I think first, all three attorneys and the firm should be held in civil contempt. Even if it is -- actually, let me take that back. Let me focus just on -- on Mr. Girardi right now.

Mr. Girardi definitely should be held in civil contempt. And I think that criminal contempt proceeding should begin in -- and that's obviously a different process, and I think there should be a referral to the U.S. Attorney's Office as well.

In terms of what the remedy is, as -- as you of course know, civil contempt can have two layers: It can be coercive or compensatory. I think that the Court certainly has the power to -- to issue a judgment in favor of these four for the \$2 million at issue.

But also let us do post-judgment discovery to see if

that's really all the money that's there.

And I believe also that there should be funds that are levied until -- until that's paid.

THE COURT: All right. Any response?

MS. MATTHAI: Well, I would respond -- this is Edith Matthai.

THE COURT: And please state your name. I'm -- please state -- go ahead.

MS. MATTHAI: Edith Matthai responding on behalf of Mr. Lira.

Mr. Lira's first notice of this proceeding was on Friday. Certainly, he should have an opportunity to provide the proof to the Court, which we can do, as to the matters in which he was responsible for handling the processing and funding of the settlement. And in those two matters, the clients have been paid in full in accordance with the releases.

As to the other four matters, Mr. Lira was not involved in the finalization of those settlements or in any aspect of the funding or the handling of those monies and was not privy to the handling of those monies by Mr. Girardi, who maintained sole and exclusive control over all of the finances at Girardi Keese, both the general account and the trust account.

Mr. Lira has no authority or ability to make wire

transfers. He did not have access to the trust account balances. And so it would be inappropriate certainly at this stage, without an opportunity to fully and completely respond by Mr. Lira, to issue any kind of contempt citation of any kind.

THE COURT: All right. On behalf of Mr. Griffin. MR. SABA: Your Honor, this is Ryan Saba.

I have to echo what Mr. Lira's lawyer just said. Mr. Griffin similarly did not have access to make wires or transfers and was not a signatory on any of the accounts as well.

I believe I heard Mr. Edelson only ask for remedies against Mr. Girardi and not against Mr. Griffin, so we'll defer any --

THE COURT: No, I heard him ask for remedies against the three attorneys in the law firm.

So he is asking for a rule to show -- for a finding of civil contempt as to your client, is how I heard him.

How do you answer, Ms. Matthai and Mr. Saba, the fairly detailed allegations in the rule to show cause about the back and forth between your clients and the Edelson firm?

There were discussions about payment of attorneys' fees; but also, you know, it appears many discussions where your clients were party to it where you're talking about some of the monies -- you know, you're just waiting for certain

things to happen with the clients, what appears to be stalling.

I'll give you a chance to respond in writing, but do you have any response to that right now?

MS. MATTHAI: Yes, Your Honor. This is Edith Matthai again.

Some of the statements made in the motion are simply not correct and misstate the communications.

In other situations, I believe that what has happened is that statements that related to certain plaintiffs are being interpreted as being made as to other plaintiffs.

So these cases did not all settle and -- at the same time. The releases were not signed at the same time. And the funding did not occur at the same time.

And so I believe that in addition to the statements that just are not true, there are also statements that are -- the suggestion is it applies to the group of cases where the Girardi firm handled the funding, when, in fact, sometimes it was referring to the cases that have not yet been settled and for which the funding had not yet occurred.

And so it's important to put everything into the chronology of what was settled when, when the monies came in, which we don't have any -- as to the group of cases that were handled -- the funding was handled by the Girardi firm -- we don't have anything other than what was filed with the court.

We're not privy to that information.

As to the cases in which Mr. Lira was involved with the settlement and the funding, we can provide the documentation to the Court that reflects that those were handled properly.

THE COURT: All right. And on behalf of Mr. Griffin.

MR. GRIFFIN: Your Honor, as inquiries were coming in from Mr. Balabanian from the Edelson firm about the status, I told him that all those inquiries needed to be directed to Mr. Girardi because he was the only one that had access to or ability to disburse funds.

I would notify Mr. Girardi of the inquiry and wait for his response until he was able to speak with Mr. Balabanian.

Every time that there was an inquiry made by the Edelson firm, I directed it to Mr. Girardi for his response.

I was acting as a go-between between the Edelson firm and Mr. Girardi.

THE COURT: All right. Ms. Jenness, speak on behalf of your client.

MS. JENNESS: Your Honor, my client has not been able to materially assist me in preparing for these proceedings.

Admittedly, it's just been a few days, but I do not find him able to do that, either to understand the nature of the proceedings or to provide me with useful information that

1 I'm confident is reliable in order to provide the Court or to 2 respond to the instant inquiry. 3 THE COURT: All right. And Mr. Monico, anything 4 else? 5 MR. MONICO: Thank -- thank you, Your Honor. 6 We'd have to -- to ask Your Honor for some time to 7 respond because, as I say, we just got on the case on Friday. 8 And we are troubled by the same problems Ms. Jenness 9 has with respect to finding out what happened. 10 And we would ask Your Honor for a one-week 11 continuance in order to respond and to give Your Honor 12 information that Your Honor's requesting. 13 THE COURT: Mr. Monico, are there any other Girardi 14 clients, other than the six identified in the Edelson motion. 15 that have had settlements with Boeing? 16 MR. MONICO: I -- I am not aware of that, Judge. Ι 17 don't know. 18 THE COURT: Mr. Edelson, do you know the answer to 19 that? 20 MR. EDELSON: I do, Your Honor. There are. And our 21 understanding is that they have not been paid either. 22 MR. LIRA: Your -- Your Honor, this is David Lira. 23 THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Lira. 24 MR. LIRA: Yes. In addition to the two referenced 25 that were -- were -- releases were signed in late May and

funded on June 11th, two days before my departure from Girardi & Keese, I handled two other cases that the releases were, again, signed in late May and -- except for one.

And that was funded on June 11. And I could give the -- the Court the plaintiff's name.

And then the fourth case I handled was -- the release was signed on August 8, 2020, and funded October 22 of this year.

So the four I was directly involved with in terms of the communications, all four have been funded, releases signed, and the clients paid. And I have wire confirmation from Wells Fargo on those four.

I cannot speak to the other four that are at issue today, as I did not have the day-to-day involvement. And, quite frankly, I didn't know when the funding came in on those -- those four.

THE COURT: Does anyone know if there's more than eight clients at the Girardi firm?

I'll ask first Mr. Edelson, then I'll ask Boeing and Mr. Monico.

MR. EDELSON: There are eight cases. You know, they're families. But if -- if we count each family as a client, then, correct, there are eight.

THE COURT: All right. And so we have Mr. Lira representing -- and it's going to have to be in writing

```
1
     through his counsel with the names and any information about
 2
     the payments and funding.
 3
              MS. MATTHAI: Your Honor --
 4
              THE COURT: Are you co-counsel, by the way,
 5
    Mr. Edelson, on those eight clients?
 6
              MR. EDELSON: Yes. Yes, Your Honor, we are.
 7
              THE COURT: So you should have information on it too.
              MS. MATTHAI: Your --
 9
              THE COURT: But there's a representation that out of
10
     the eight clients, four have been paid and four are short a
11
    total of $2 million.
12
              So, Mr. Lira, you should, in fact, through counsel,
13
     submit all that information in writing so I can have some
14
    degree of confidence that these clients have been paid.
15
              MR. LIRA: Yes, Your Honor, I will, but --
16
              MS. MATTHAI: Your Honor, we don't want to leave with
17
    a mis- -- misunderstanding. We will certainly provide that --
18
              THE COURT: Who is this? Who -- hang on, hang on.
19
              MS. MATTHAI: I'm sorry.
20
              THE COURT: You have to state your name before you
21
            We have a lot of people on the call who are speaking.
     speak.
22
    So for an accurate transcript, please state your name.
23
              MS. MATTHAI:
                            I apologize, Your Honor.
24
    Edith Matthai.
25
              THE COURT: Go ahead.
```

MS. MATTHAI: Just so the record is clear, there were four cases in which Mr. Lira was involved in the settlement and funding, and we will provide the documentation to the Court on those four cases.

There were two other cases that Mr. Lira was involved

There were two other cases that Mr. Lira was involved in the representation of the clients, but they moved to other counsel before the cases were settled; and we can provide that information.

And then there is another client that was represented by Girardi Keese that is not included in the Court's orders because it is my understanding that there were no minor children involved and it was a single adult; and we can provide all of this information in our response.

THE COURT: Was that other client paid?

MR. LIRA: Your Honor, I have -- I have no information on that other client. They executed a release on May 19, 2020. And I was gone as of June 13. I did not handle directly that fifth case under the Girardi umbrella, for lack of a better description.

MR. SHULTZ: Your Honor?

THE COURT: Who is this?

MR. SHULTZ: Your Honor, Mack Shultz on behalf of Boeing.

Could I try to shed a little bit of light on the status and the number of cases?

1 THE COURT: Please do. 2 MR. SHULTZ: So, Your Honor, at various times the 3 Girardi Keese and Edelson firms represented the families of 11 4 different decedents, all of which we believed we reached 5 settlements with. 6 Two -- as -- as has been mentioned by one of the 7 attorneys so far, in two of those cases, the families elected not to finalize the settlement and retained other counsel. 9 On the remaining nine cases, Boeing made payment 10 pursuant to the releases in all nine cases. 11 THE COURT: All right. And we are aware --12 MS. JENNESS: Your Honor? 13 THE COURT: Go ahead. Who's this? 14 MS. JENNESS: I apologize, Your Honor. This is 15 Evan Jenness for Mr. Girardi. I'm here in Los Angeles. 16 THE COURT: Yes. 17 MS. JENNESS: There is an adult decedent. The matter 18 was handled by the Girardi Keese firm. I believe that subject 19 has not been paid, but I have not fully evaluated the matter. 20 It was not listed in the Court's order. 21 THE COURT: Paid at all? 22 MS. JENNESS: Your Honor, I'm not aware of complete 23 information, but I believe that's the case. 24 (Indiscernible crosstalk.) 25 MR. EDELSON: Your Honor, this is -- I apologize.

1 Our understanding is that there's at least one client 2 that has not been paid at all that -- from Girardi, one of 3 the --4 THE COURT: What's the name of the client? What's 5 the name of the client? MR. EDELSON: Multi -- I'm sorry. I want to 6 7 pronounce his name correctly. 8 (Indiscernible crosstalk.) 9 THE COURT: If anyone's going to jump in, they have 10 to state their name. 11 Go ahead, Mr. Edelson. 12 MR. EDELSON: Multi Rizki, Your Honor. 13 And this is Jay Edelson speaking. 14 THE COURT: All right. And are you co-counsel on 15 that case? 16 MR. EDELSON: Yes. And that -- that was settled --17 the dismissal was 5/21/2020. 18 THE COURT: All right. So let me review this, make 19 sure I have it clear. 20 There's four cases -- sets of plaintiffs -- four 21 different sets of plaintiffs that are short \$2 million. And 22 there may be a fifth which hasn't been paid -- I'm not going 23 to go into the amount 'cause I don't know it -- but there is a 24 fifth who may not have been paid anything, and the name was 25 just given.

1 Are there any other outstanding Girardi Keese' 2 clients that are -- that we haven't gone over? 3 Mr. Edelson, are you aware of any others? 4 MR. EDELSON: We -- we don't have -- we have 5 suspicions; but, no, we do not have knowledge of others. 6 THE COURT: All right. Well, I appreciate attorneys 7 asking for more time on -- to respond to some of these things 8 because they just got into the case, but I'm also cognizant of 9 the fact that money seems to be leaving certain areas in a way 10 that is disturbing when people who get money from Boeing and 11 have it in trust don't keep it in trust and instead spend it 12 otherwise. 13 That's a serious ethical violation. It's probably a 14 Of course, I'm referring this all to the legal. 15 U.S. Attorney's Office. 16 And -- before I get there. Mr. Edelson, these 17 disputes, at least with the four, that have been not paid the 18 full amount of the settlements, these disputes go back 19 sometime. Why am I finding this out in December? MR. EDELSON: Your Honor, that's a good question. 20 21 THE COURT: Yeah, yeah. Go ahead. 22 MR. EDELSON: Yeah, no, I appreciate the question. 23 It's something that we've reflected on a lot. 24 We've -- we first thought that -- just being naive, 25 and I -- I -- you know, I've been practicing 25 years.

never once encountered a situation where co-counsel is stealing money from a client.

So we -- we asked a lot of questions. And perhaps we were foolish to believe what they were saying. We were a little bit hamstrung in that when things weren't actually making sense to us, we didn't have the authority to reach out to Boeing.

One example is they were saying Boeing has specifically said they won't release any of the money until everybody has signed releases. We said that doesn't make any sense; can we please just call the Boeing attorneys. And they said, no, you do not have client authority to do so.

Our view was we did not want to -- to come forward and accuse attorneys who have been practicing for a long time of criminal behavior unless we were 100 percent sure, so we did everything we could.

As Your Honor knows, we didn't take any money in this. And our focus here is making sure the clients are paid. We're not asking that -- that we get paid from this. Our whole focus is making sure that the client gets paid, and that was our focus during it.

During all these negotiations, there were many -- many different times that the Girardi firm said, well, let us get you money.

Tom was calling last week and offering to get me

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

money if this would go away. That's why this idea that he's mentally deficient is really -- it's -- it's not -- not becoming of the attorneys who are saying that. We have not done that. Our focus has been trying to get the clients paid. And should we have acted quicker? Obviously looking back, yes, we should've acted quicker. THE COURT: All right. Well, I have the inherent authority to enforce my orders through a civil contempt proceeding. And the requirements that have to be shown by clear and convincing evidence are that the order sets forth an unequivocal command that the particular party has violated that order, or the violation was significant and the failure to take steps to reasonably -- and they failed to take steps to reasonably and diligently comply with the order. If I find civil contempt, I can impose civil sanctions towards compliance with the order and compensate the parties for the harm suffered because of the parties' contemptuous conduct.

Mr. Monico, are you opposing a finding of contempt as to the -- Girardi Keese's firm, in light of --

MR. MONICO: Yes, Your Honor. Yes, Your Honor. Ι think it's -- I think it's --

THE COURT: Who is this?

MR. MONICO: Only that it's a tad premature, Judge.

I haven't had time to completely discover -- investigate the case and find out where the money went.

THE COURT: Well, we know one place it didn't go and that was to the families. And that's the part that makes this -- makes a finding of contempt appropriate.

Whether it went to Mr. Girardi personally or other third parties, it didn't go to the people that I ordered it to go to.

MR. MONICO: That's true, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So I'm going to find that clear and convincing evidence -- under a clear and convincing evidence standard that all four of the requirements for civil contempt have been met as to the law firm.

The order does set forth an unequivocal command. I read it earlier into the record. It's clear based on our filing, which I appreciate your candor, and it was exactly the right thing to do, by the way, getting into this as quickly as you did to be frank with the Court; but it makes clear there was a violation of that order because the monies weren't paid to the clients.

This isn't that difficult. You learn in law school -- we all did -- in Ethics 101 that when you get money that belongs to a client, you put it in an escrow fund and you don't touch it. It only goes to the client.

No matter what your personal financial situation is,

no matter what kind of pressures you're under, if you touch client money, you're going to be disbarred and, quite possibly, charged criminally. And we learn that in law school. And someone as experienced as Mr. Girardi knows that as well as anyone.

The third element is the violation had to be significant. Of course it's significant. These are widows and orphans.

And half a million dollars for any one of these families is a significant amount of money, life-changing money, given the tragedy they went through and trying to carry on after that.

And failure to take steps to reasonably and diligently comply with the order. There's been no attempt to comply with the order. These monies were due to the families months and months ago.

So I'm finding the Girardi Keese firm in civil contempt.

Now the question is whether I find Mr. Girardi in contempt.

Ms. Jenness, do you want to speak to that?

MS. JENNESS: Thank you, Your Honor. I'd ask that the Court give us an opportunity to have a mental evaluation of -- of Tom Girardi prepared.

I'm simply not able to communicate with him

effectively. He's unable to effectively advise me on how to defend him in this matter.

I understand the gravity of it. We've had a very short period of time in which to marshal a large amount of evidence and to understand what transpired over a lengthy period of time.

I think it's well-warranted here, Your Honor, to give us that time.

I've been practicing for over 30 years, so I'm very familiar with clients who are, quote/unquote, faking it. I do not believe that Mr. Girardi is doing so.

I believe he's attempting in good faith to assist me.

I just -- I'm not working with a man who's able to do that.

And I need to understand from the mental health professional why -- why that is occurring. And I need some more time to try and find out what transpired here.

As the Court recognized, we -- we were able to pull together enough information to disclose to the Court with all candor what we were able to find out, but I simply can't discern any of the -- any of the subtleties here and understand what's going on with my client.

And, frankly, how much of this he understands, because I sincerely believe his professments of incomprehension.

And it's very -- it's very hard for me being counsel

for a client where I'm unsure that he understands either the nature of the gravity of the current situation or the past events that have -- that have transpired.

I -- you know, certainly the gravity of the situation can't be overstated. On the other hand, I would note for the Court that the four identified client victims in -- in the chart were paid 70 or 75 percent of the amount that is owed to them, so we're talking about the outstanding -- the outstanding balance.

THE COURT: What about the other client? What about the fifth one that at least it's been alleged didn't receive anything?

MS. JENNESS: Your Honor, I have no reason to believe that that allegation is incorrect, based on the information I know now.

That -- as that was not a subject of the court order, I didn't delve into that one, particularly given the short period of time that we had.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, you can certainly have your client examined. Given what you've said, it may make a lot of sense for you to do so.

But I have no -- the -- the examination doesn't -- and even your response does not have to await my finding of civil contempt as to your client, which I'm making today for the same reasons I made against his firm.

You can purge it. You can purge the civil contempt by paying the money. You can move to vacate the finding of civil contempt if upon examination -- I'm not sure the result of the examination will cure it, but you can certainly purge it by paying the money.

But I see no reason to delay the finding of civil contempt, because, candidly, I'm worried about the money -- if there is any money left or has gone elsewhere is going to get harder and harder to track down for the benefit of the people who are owed that money.

I'm very troubled by the allegation there's a fifth plaintiff who may not have been paid anything.

So the finding of civil contempt, for the same reasons I found them against the firm, are also against Thomas Girardi individually.

The civil sanction, which again you can purge -- Ms. Jenness and Mr. Monico, your clients can purge it.

The civil penalty is currently the \$2 million that's owed plus interest.

And the -- I'll await further requests from the Edelson firm as to this fifth client, and we'll determine what happens with that one.

I'm also -- I don't know why -- and I think the -- to the extent this civil contempt has a sanction of \$2 million plus interest, I'm going to enter a judgment in that amount

also.

I want any creditors to understand this is a judgment against the law firm and Mr. Girardi individually. And I don't want there to be any ambiguity if other people try and get in front of them for payment of any assets that Mr. Girardi may have individually.

These people are owed the money and they ought to get it. And there's one easy way to pay it -- one easy way to purge this civil contempt, and that's to pay the money.

As to the two individual attorneys, I will give their attorneys -- Mr. Griffin, Mr. Lira -- I'll give their attorneys a chance to explain why they shouldn't also be held in civil contempt with the same finding relating to the payment of \$2 million plus interest.

I'll give you a week to file a response. There's very -- there's quite a bit of information provided in the rule to show cause about contacts your clients, Mr. Lira, Mr. Griffin had with the Edelson firm.

And it's easy right now to say, I didn't know anything, it was all Girardi's fault. But I'll give you a chance to respond, because in a small firm, it strikes me as somewhat incredible that everyone didn't know what was going on.

But I'll give you a chance to respond. I'm not going to force you to respond to that today, but you ought to know

my suspicion and -- given what has been presented to me.

This is not a 1,000-person firm. It's a very small firm and it's hard for me to believe on its face that Mr. Lira and Mr. Griffin didn't have some knowledge that the entirety of the payments were not going to the client.

Most importantly, why they weren't all paid at once. Why this spreading out of payments, according to the spreadsheet that Mr. Monico submitted under seal.

That to me is incomprehensible. And it indicates to me that the money that was coming in from Boeing was being used for other purposes and the clients were being given partial payments to at least assuage them to think that it'll all be coming sooner or later. And that's unconscionable.

All right. Mr. Edelson, anything else you want to raise at this time?

MR. EDELSON: The only -- the only other thing, Your Honor, that I mentioned at -- at the beginning was about them filing under seal, where our position would be, unless they file a motion explaining why 90 percent of this material should be sealed, we don't think it should.

We agree that the -- the amounts that were due to the clients -- the total amounts that were due should be redacted.

But beyond that, I don't see any reason they should be under seal.

THE COURT: Mr. Monico.

1 MR. MONICO: That's why we filed it under seal, 2 Your Honor. 3 This is Mr. Monico. 4 And that's exactly why we filed it under seal, 5 because we were under the impression that, as Your Honor's 6 previous orders were indicating with respect to the amounts of 7 money for the -- for these minor plaintiffs, that the amounts 8 should be sealed. 9 THE COURT: Well, you can redact the full amount of 10 the settlements. 11 And, Mr. Edelson, why don't you suggest a redaction 12 to Mr. Monico. Make sure it's run by Mr. Shultz because they 13 have an interest in keeping the overall settlement amounts 14 confidential. 15 And, obviously, if we set forth the detail in any 16 particularity, that's going to reveal, just by simple 17 addition, what the full settlement amount is. 18 So if you've got a suggested redaction Mr. Edelson, 19 run it by Mr. Monico --20 MR. EDELSON: All right, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: -- and -- and Shultz, and then the documents should be filed publicly but for the agreed redacted 22 23 amounts. 24 If there's a disagreement, come back to me and I'll 25 rule on the disagreement.

1 Now, what -- what is the -- Mr. Edelson, what 2 remedies do I have on freezing assets at this point of the two 3 parties I found in contempt? 4 MR. EDELSON: We believe that you can -- that you can 5 freeze assets right now and we -- we believe you can appoint a 6 receiver, which we heard the defendant -- and I apologize. 7 Sometimes it was hard for me to tell who was speaking, but I 8 thought it was Mr. Girardi's attorney who suggested a 9 receiver. 10 And we would suggest that the Court should do that 11 freeze assets, appoint a receiver, and the right away: 12 receiver can help figure out all the money that's out there. 13 And, you know, the focus should be whatever clients aren't 14 paid, that's who should be getting the money first. 15 And if there are creditors, they can get paid after 16 that; and co-counsel should be paid last, if at all. 17 THE COURT: Ms. Jenness, did you mention a receiver? 18 I thought you talked about having someone examine Mr. Girardi. 19 MS. JENNESS: Your Honor, that is what I had 20 requested. 21 Mr. Monico I think could address the issue of a 22 trustee, which is -- which is the potential remedy we had 23 discussed amongst counsel. 24 And this is --25 THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Monico.

MR. MONICO: Yes, Your Honor. I think it was -- I think it was I who suggested that if Your Honor believes that a trustee is -- is -- should be placed in -- in control, I agree -- I agree with that, because we -- we have trouble, as -- from the beginning of this case, we've had -- for the last three days, we've had trouble determining where the money went.

So I think -- I think in terms of protecting the

So I think -- I think in terms of protecting the individuals and protecting the plaintiffs, the best cause of it -- best possible thing for you to do would be to impose a trustee, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Well, I'm going to do that.

I think whatever funds both Mr. Girardi personally has and the law firm has ought to be preserved for benefit of the -- of the plaintiffs who haven't received their money.

So I'll appoint a trustee.

Mr. Edelson, you should speak to Mr. Monico and Ms. Jenness about a proposed trustee. If you have an agreed one, give -- you know, put it in an agreed order.

If you have a disagreement or just don't want to do more than suggest a couple, suggest those to me and I'll appoint someone.

Who pays for this trustee, Mr. Monico?

MR. MONICO: I assume it would come from the assets of the firm. Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Mr. Edelson, your view? 2 MR. EDELSON: Well, they're saying they don't have 3 assets. I think that the -- that the three attorneys should 4 be jointly and severally responsible for the payment to the 5 trustee. 6 We -- we -- we just want to avoid the shell game. 7 You know, it's up to him; no, it's up to him. 8 THE COURT: Well, I'm not going to do that to 9 Mr. Lira and Mr. Griffin at this point because I haven't found 10 them in civil contempt. 11 But the two parties that are in civil contempt, the 12 law firm and Mr. Girardi, will be responsible for paying for 13 the trustee. 14 But the first thing the trustee is going to have to 15 determine is what are the assets. 16 If -- I'll have to review whatever that trustee comes 17 up with. What I don't want to have is a trustee being paid 18 and then plaintiffs not being paid. That's not the point of 19 this whole exercise. 20 So I'm going to ask you, Mr. Edelson, to speak to the 21 attorney for Mr. Girardi and for the law firm, Ms. Jenness and 22 Mr. Monico, Mr. Spevack, and talk to them and prepare an 23 agreed order for my entry as to appointment of a trustee. 24 There is a freeze at this moment on all assets. And

that order should include my oral statement right now that

25

1 there's a freeze on the assets of Mr. Girardi and the 2 law firm. Ms. Jenness. if you're going to get paid, it's going 3 4 to go through the trustee. 5 Mr. Monico, if you're going to get paid, it's going 6 to go through the trustee. 7 And the simple way to cure all of this is to pay the 8 plaintiffs who are due their money. 9 Anything else, Mr. Edelson? 10 MR. EDELSON: No, Your Honor. Thank you for your 11 time. 12 THE COURT: Mr. Shultz, anything else? 13 MR. SHULTZ: Your Honor, I just ask that if there are 14 further sealed filings, that we be served with unredacted sets 15 so that we can monitor what -- what happened with respect to 16 these plaintiffs. 17 THE COURT: Of course. That should be -- that should 18 take place in the future if there's any sealed filings by any 19 of the attorneys. 20 Mr. Monico, anything else on behalf of your client, 21 the firm? 22 MR. MONICO: No, Your Honor. Thank you very much for 23 hearing us this morning. 24 THE COURT: Ms. Jenness, anything else on behalf your 25 client?

1 MS. JENNESS: No, Your Honor. 2 It's Jenness, J-E-N-N-E-S-S. I apologize for not 3 spelling it earlier. 4 I am presently unsure whether -- whether my client 5 and/or the firm has the ability to -- to pay a trustee. 6 How should we communicate information about that to 7 other counsel and/or the Court? I simply don't know. 8 haven't -- we have not looked into it. 9 THE COURT: Sure. Well, what I'm expecting is a 10 proposed order, either agreed or with the areas of 11 disagreement noted on the order submitted to me. I'd like it 12 submitted by tomorrow. 13 And trustees are not hard to find. And if there is a 14 disagreement or some area of disagreement as to how to pay, 15 contact my courtroom deputy. We'll hear you as early as 16 tomorrow, if necessary, to try and move along on this. 17 MS. JENNESS: Your Honor, I've been informed that the 18 firm's operating account has only approximately \$15,000 in it. 19 They were unable to --20 THE COURT: How about Mr. Girardi? 21 MS. JENNESS: -- to make payroll more recently. 22 THE COURT: All right. Well, that's why I imposed 23 the contempt against not only the firm but also Mr. Girardi. 24 And I also imposed a freeze order on his assets along with the 25 firm assets.

1 So you'll have to talk to your client about what 2 assets he has that can be used to both pay for a trustee and 3 satisfy the contempt -- the judgment I've entered as to the 4 \$2 million plus interests against him and the firm. 5 It's hard -- well, I don't know what his personal 6 situation is, and you'll have to explore that with him. 7 MS. JENNESS: Your Honor, I've certainly attempted to 8 do so, but as I indicated earlier, I have a client who's 9 unable to materially assist me, so I'm -- I'm not at all 10 confident, as we sit here today, that I will be able to 11 provide accurate information. I will certainly endeavor to do 12 SO. 13 THE COURT: Okay. Please do. A person of 14 Mr. Girardi's means, at least if not presently, in the past 15 should likely have an accountant or some other professional 16 that is responsible for managing his finances, or at least in 17 part. 18 So there's other people to communicate with besides 19 his -- besides himself. 20 On behalf of Mr. Lira and Mr. Griffin, anything else? 21 MS. MATTHAI: Edith Matthai for Mr. Lira. 22 No, Your Honor. 23 MR. SABA: Your Honor, this is Ryan Saba. 24 Nothing on behalf of Mr. Griffin, except for just to

clarify that our filing is due one week from today. Correct?

25

1	THE COURT: That's correct. That's correct.
2	MR. SABA: Thank you.
3	THE COURT: And as to the law firm and Mr. Girardi
4	and the Edelson firm, I'd like to see something tomorrow. If
5	that's not practical, give my courtroom deputy a date when you
6	can provide something, either agreed to or with the areas of
7	disagreement noted in the proposed order.
8	But as of today, there is a freeze on the assets of
9	the law firm and Mr. Girardi based on my finding of civil
10	contempt.
11	Thank you, all.
12	(Time noted: 11:00 a.m.)
13	(Which were all the proceedings heard.)
14	CERTIFICATE
15	I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from
16	the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
17	/s/ Elia E. Carrión 15th day of December, 2020
18	Elia E. Carrión Date Official Court Reporter
19	OTTICIAL COULT Reporter
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	